

Supplementary files

Part I: English Version Questioner

Study Area	Code: Partic	ipant Code:			
Structured	self-administered question	onnaire for Assessment to identify factor	s that affect medical		
laboratory	laboratory accreditation implementation in selected public and private health facilities in Addis				
Ababa, Eth	iopia				
Part I So	ocio-Demographic Charac	eteristics of the Respondent			
Dear part	icipant, the following qu	nestions are targeted to differentiate you	ır Socio-Demographic		
characteristics. Please circle the best proper choice of answer code.					
No	Questions	Coding Classification	Code		
1	Gender	Male = 1			
Female = 2					
2	Age in years	year			

4	Educational level	Diploma or below = 1	
		First Degree (BSC) = 2	
		Second Degree (MSc) = 3	
		Third Degree (PhD) = 4	
5	Monthly income	per month	
6	Work	year	
	Experience/Service		

II. Knowledge Questions

Dear participants, the following questions are target to see your Knowledge towards Accreditation. Please circle the best choice of you answer code.

		1	T
No	Questions	Coding	Code
		Classification	
1		X7 1	
1	,	Yes = 1	
	Accreditation?	No = 2	
2	Have you ever taken training related to ISO	Yes = 1	
	15189?	No = 2	
3	Do you have external consultants/mentors	Yes = 1	
	to assist with quality system implementation?	No = 2	
4	Do you have information about	Yes = 1	
	Competency?	No = 2	

III. Attitude Questions

Dear participants, the following questions are target to see your attitude towards accreditation. Please circle the best choice of you answer code.

No	Questions	Coding	Code
		Classification	

1	accreditation is important for Medical laboratories?	Agree = 2 Disagree = 1
2	ISO 15189 training is helpful for implementation of accreditation?	Agree = 2 Disagree = 1
3	Do you believe your laboratory perform mplementation of LQMS?	Agree = 2 Disagree = 1
4	Do you believe non-accredited laboratories affect satisfaction of their clients?	Agree = 2 Disagree = 1

IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCREDITATION

Dear participants, the following questions are target to see implementation of accreditation process. Please circle the best choice of you answer code.

No	Questions	Coding	Code
		Classification	
1.	Do you have sufficient Resources human	Yes = 1	
	power, finance and inventory system?	No = 2	
2.	Does the management support your	Yes = 1	
	laboratory?	No = 2	
3.	Your equipment has full information?	Yes = 1	
		No = 2	
4.	Do you perform equipment calibration	Yes = 1	
	according to manufacturer instruction?	No = 2	
5.	Do you have knowledge on availability	Yes = 1	
	accreditation body in Ethiopia?	No = 2	
6.	Do you have a backup power supply?	Yes = 1	
		No = 2	
7.	Does Your laboratory participate on	Yes = 1	
	Proficiency Test?	No = 2	
8.	Does the calibrated equipment/reagent	Yes = 1	
	traceability to IBM?	No = 2	
9.	Staffs are motivating to implement ISO	Yes = 1	
	15189?	No = 2	
10.	Do you perform IQC for each test?	Yes = 1	

		No = 2	
11.	Do you perform method validation and	Yes = 1	
	verification?	No = 2	
12.	Is your calibration reagents/equipment	Yes = 1	
	traceability maintained?	No = 2	
13.	Do you participate EQA?	Yes = 1	
		No = 2	

V. Implementation as part of ISO 15189 accreditation	
(Key: Yes = Comply, No = not comply	
Its permanent facilities, or in associated or mobile	Yes=1
facilities?	No =2
Management and personnel are free from any undue	Yes=1
commercial, financial, or other pressures and influences that may adversely affect the quality of their work?	No =2
Does laboratory management have an effective means for	Yes=1
communicating with staff	No =2
Selecting and evaluating referral laboratories and	Yes=1
consultants	No =2

Does a list of selected and approved suppliers of equipment,	Yes=1
reagents and consumablesexist?	No =2
Does the laboratory establish arrangements for advisory	Yes=1
services	No =2
Does the laboratory take corrective action to eliminate the	Yes=1
cause(s) of nonconformities?	No =2
Does the laboratory determine action to eliminate the	Yes=1
causes of potential nonconformities in order to?	No =2
prevent their occurrence?	
Does improvement activities directed at areas of highest	Yes=1
priority based on risk assessments?	No =2
Does the laboratory plan and implement the evaluation and	Yes=1
internal audit processes?	No =2
Does corrective action taken without undue delay to	Yes=1
eliminate the causes of the detected nonconformities (see 4.10)?	No =2
Door laboratory management review the quality	Yes=1
Does laboratory management review the quality management system at planned intervals to ensure its	i es=i
continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness and	No =2
support of patient care?	
Does the laboratory have job descriptions that describe	Yes=1
responsibilities, authorities and tasks for all personnel?	No =2

Does the laboratory provide training for all personnel on	Yes=1
quality management system?	No =2
Following appropriate training, does the laboratory assess	Yes=1
the competence of each person to perform assigned managerial or technical tasks according to established criteria?	No =2
	V 1
Is a continuing education program available to personnel	Yes=1
who participate in managerial and technical processes?	No =2
Does the laboratory have space allocated for the	Yes=1
performance of its work that is designed to ensure the quality, safety and efficacy of the service provided to the	No =2
users and the health and safety of laboratory personnel,	
patients and visitors?	
Does the laboratory evaluate and determine the sufficiency	Yes=1
and adequacy of the space allocated for the performance of the work?	No =2
Does the laboratory and associated office facilities provide	Yes=1
an environment suitable for the tasks to be undertaken, to ensure the following conditions are met:	No =2
Safety facilities and devices are provided and their	Yes=1
functioning regularly verified? EXAMPLE Operation of emergency release, intercom and alarm systems for cold	No =2
rooms and walk-in freezers; accessibility of emergency	
showers and eyewash, etc.	
Are storage space and conditions provided that ensure the	Yes=1
continuing integrity of sample materials, documents,	

	T	
equipment, reagents, consumables, records, results and any	No =2	
other items that could affect the quality of examination		
results?		
Are clinical samples and materials used in examination	Yes=1	
processes stored in a manner to prevent cross	No =2	
contamination?	110 -2	
Are storage and disposal facilities for dangerous materials	Yes=1	
appropriate to the hazards of the materials and as specified	No =2	
by applicable requirements?	110 -2	
	*7	
Are there adequate access to washrooms, to a supply of	Yes=1	
drinking water and to facilities for storage of personal	No =2	
protective equipment and clothing?	-	
Does the Johanness well	V 2-1	
Does the laboratory replace equipment as needed to ensure	Yes=1	
the quality of examination results?	No =2	
Is equipment operated at all times by trained and	Yes=1	
authorized personnel?	No. 2	
	No =2	
Are current instructions on the use, safety and maintenance	Yes=1	
of equipment, including any relevant manuals and		
directions for use provided by the manufacturer of the	No =2	
equipment, readily available?		
Does the laboratory have procedures for safe handling,	Yes=1	
transport, storage and use of equipment to prevent its		
contamination or deterioration?	No =2	
L	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

	T. 4	
Recording the metrological traceability of the calibration	Yes=1	
standard and the traceable calibration of the item of	No =2	
equipment?		
Do records maintained for each reagent and consumable	Yes=1	
that contributes to the performance of examinations?	N. O	
	No =2	
Does the independent verification by the laboratory	Yes=1	
confirm, through obtaining objective evidence (in the form	No =2	
of performance characteristics) that the performance claims	NO -2	
for the examination procedure have been met?		
Does the laboratory validate examination procedures	Yes=1	
derived from non-standard/modified methods?	168-1	
derived from hon-standard/modified methods?	No =2	
Does the laboratory determine measurement uncertainty for	Yes=1	
each measurement procedure in the examination phase used	105-1	
to report measured quantity values on patients' samples?	No =2	
to report measured quantity values on patients samples:		
Does the laboratory use quality control materials that react	Yes=1	
to the examining system in a manner as close as possible to	No =2	
patient samples?	110 -2	
Does the laboratory participate in an interlaboratory	Yes=1	
comparison program(s) (such as an external quality	- • •	
assessment program or proficiency testing program)	No =2	
appropriate to the examination and interpretations of		
examination results?		
Whenever an interlaboratory comparison is not available,	Yes=1	
does the laboratory develop other approaches and provide	No =2	
objective evidence for determining the acceptability of		
examination results?		

Does the laboratory ensure that the authorities and	Yes=1	
responsibilities for the management of the information	No =2	
system are defined, including the maintenance and	110 –2	
modification to the information system(s) that may affect		
patient care?		
Does the laboratory verify that the results of examinations,	Yes=1	
associated information and comments are accurately	No =2	
reproduced, electronically and in hard copy where relevant?		
Does the laboratory have documented contingency plans to	Yes=1	
maintain services in the event of failure or downtime in	No =2	
information systems that affect the laboratory's ability to	110 -2	
provide service?		

• If you have any additional factors that affects accreditation implementation?

Part II- English Version. In-depth interview /focus group discussion guide questions

FGD and In-Depth Interview Questionnaire for identifying factors affecting medical laboratory accreditation process in selected public and private health facilities in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- 1. What do you know about accreditation?
- 2. How do you know about accreditation?
- 3. What is your attitude towards accreditation?
- 4. What is the benefit of practicing accreditation?
- 5. How can you prevent factors association with implementation of accreditation?
- 6. What action you think to be taken by the individual and government for implementation of accreditation?